

~~SECRET~~/NOFORN -- SKEET CHANNELS ONLY

PROJECT SUN STREAK (U)

ERV SESSION PROCEDURES REPORT

WARNING NOTICE: INTELLIGENCE SOURCES AND METHODS INVOLVED

CONTROL NUMBER: 8609A

NICKNAME: None SG1B

DATE OF SESSION: 14 Sep 87

TARGET COUNTRY: [REDACTED]

REFERENCES: None

SESSION NUMBER: 02

DATE OF REPORT: 15 Sep 87

MISSION STATUS: Continuing

TECHNIQUE UTILIZED: ERV

SOURCE IDENTIFIER: 079

1. (S/NF/SK) INTERVIEWER TASKING: This project is a continuation of series of taskings levied upon this unit in the previous calendar year. The actual target is an attempt to prove or disprove the existence and/or [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] If confirmed, all data pertaining to this technology are of interest to US Intelligence to include the outcome of the testing phase, military/political implications and any factors which may have or will affect the success or failure of this system.

2. (S/NF/SK) SOURCE TASKING: Source was told only that this is a continuation of the previous session and target. She was specifically told that when She acquired the proper target She should report, "on the thing that interest me". Source was provided the same encrypted coordinates as the initial session, (789400/666159), as per Extended Remote Viewing (ERV) protocols. Source was not given any other descriptive data or cues prior to this session or given any indication that the data provided in the previous session was being questioned by the Interviewer.

3. (S/NF/SK) INCLEMENCIES: There were no reportable incidents or anomalies which may have influenced the data obtained in this session.

4. (S/NF/SK) SUMMARY: Source furnished the attached summary which was prepared following the session and submitted to the Interviewer within 24 hours after the session. The completeness of the typewritten summary has been compared to the Interviewer's notes and all deletions, changes, and/or corrections have been verified as acceptable by the Interviewer. A review of this summary and the data

~~SECRET~~/NOFORN - SKEET CHANNELS ONLY

obtained from the actual session would tend to indicate that Source has successfully acquired the proper site and that the data provided by Her pertaining to the specific object described by Her in the session and Her summary may actually be the item being sought in the tasking. Her post-session sketches supplemented the actual session material and may benefit a more knowledgeable analyst with more technical knowledge concerning items known to be located at [REDACTED]

Source's summary was considered to be an accurate representation of the major items of information and general themes of reporting that occurred during the actual session and therefore require no further modification or explanation by the Interviewer.

5. (S/NF/SK) COMMENTS: Source's descriptive data pertaining to a specific object located at the site would seem to indicate the presence of a powerful technologically advance weapons system of some sort. The impression of a beam of light would seem to belie the

[REDACTED]

were several ambiguities concerning the actual target and the effect the weapon on the target which may be resolved in future sessions. Again, however, there exist the distinct possibility that as yet there has not been a "target" in the classical sense and therefore Source is unable to describe its existence and/or post-"impact" condition. It should be noted that Source is a singularly "technologically ignorant" Source with little if any frame of

[REDACTED]

and there is always a danger that Source will "attempt to close the loop" and compare Her impressions to some other more familiar object, hence a previous session implication of a more conventional system. At least one more session will be required to gather more convincing data and to resolve the question of a test firing of the weapon. This may, obviously, require a tasking to view the future if it is determined that the weapon has not yet been fired.

SG1J

[REDACTED]

GS-13, DAC
Interviewer